

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/869,335	12/30/2001	Koichi Nishimura	010931	5697
23850	7590 09/03/2004	EXAMINER		
ARMSTRON	IG, KRATZ, QUINT	ZEMEL, IRINA SOPHIA		
1725 K STREI	ET, NW			
SUITE 1000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			1711	
		DATE MAILED: 00/03/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/869,3	335	NISHIMURA ET AL.			
		Examine	r	Art Unit	·		
		Irina S. Z		1711			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on th	ne cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ss		
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ions of time may be available under the provision: IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (in period for reply is specified above, the maximum is to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months in patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. 30) days, a reply within the statatutory period will apply and o y will by statute, cause the ac	vent, however, may a reply be to atutory minimum of thirty (30) da will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror polication to become ABANDON	imely filed nys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	unication.		
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 08 August 200	<u>13</u> .				
•							
3) 🗌 🦇	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
5)□ ( 6)⊠ ( 7)□ (	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the la) Of the above claim(s) is/acccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc	are withdrawn from c					
Application	on Papers						
10) 🔲 🛚	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected	e: a) accepted or lection to the drawing(s)  ng the correction is requ	) be held in abeyance. S uired if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	-					
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internative the attached detailed Office act	by documents have be by documents have be s of the priority docur cional Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applica ments have been recei tule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National St	age		
2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		52)		

Application/Control Number: 09/869,335

Art Unit: 1711

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2, 4-5, and 8-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,699,936 (hereinafter "936 patent") in view of Polymer Technology Dictionary and US Patent 6,500,884 to Tsujimura et al.. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application (earlier filed application) and the claims of the '936 patent (later filed application) claim essentially the same subject matter wherein the instant application claims more generic rubber components and more specific crosslinking agents. The generically claimed components are anticipated and are obvious from the specifically claimed components. In the instant case, the generically claimed in '936

Application/Control Number: 09/869,335

Art Unit: 1711

application. The nitrile diene rubber, on the other hand, is obvious from the genus of nitrelle rubbers becase diene-nitrile rubbers are most commonly known class of nitrile rubber genus. See for, example, Polymer Technology Dictionary, page 270. The genus of triazine and quinoxaline crosslinking agents is anticipated and is anticipated and obvious from specifically claimed mercaptitiazines and dimercaptoquinoxaline. Specifically claimed crosslinking agents (mercaptitiazines and dimercaptoquinoxaline) wold have been obvious from the genus of triazines and quinoxalines as one of the most common curing agents for halogenated rubbers in the respective genus. See for example, US Patent 6,500,884 to Tsujimura et al. Therefore, the inventions as claimed in the instant application and '936 patent are obvious over each other.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-11 are allowable over the prior art of record. Crosslincable compositions comprising blends of nitrile rubbers and epihalohydrin rubbers and various crosslinking agents are known in the art. See for example, US Patent 4,048,261 to Starmer (hereinafter "Starmer"); US Patent 3,657,393 to Komuro et al., (hereinafter "Komuro"); or JP 60-141739 to Fujikura Rubber Works (hereinafter "Fujikura"). All of those references disclose crosslinking the crosslinkable blends with one curing agent or a common curing system that crosslinks both the polymeric components. While use of mixtures of known curing agents, such as claimed in the instant application, would have been obvious, applicants demonstrated that the composition according to the present

Application/Control Number: 09/869,335

Art Unit: 1711

invention exhibit unexpectedly improved physical properties (permanent set and ozone resistance) as compared to the compositions having common curing systems.

Applicants should note that while ISR and the references cited on the ISR were provided to the Office, listing of the references in the ISR is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irina S. Zemel whose telephone number is (571)272-0577. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571)272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ISZ

James J. Seidleck Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700